

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/802,869  <b>Examiner</b> Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MURAYAMA ET AL.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 3736</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	
<b>Art Unit</b> 3736				

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey G. Hoekstra

(2) Wendi Weinstein

**Date of Interview:** 27 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
 n/a

**Claims discussed:**  
 3, 6-9, 11, 15-25, 30-32

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
 n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner and Applicant discussed cancelling claims 15-25 and 30-32 and rejoining claims 3, 6-9, and 11 in order to place the Application in condition for Allowance. See attached Notice of Allowability.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3736

**Status of Application:** amendment after Non-Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** p.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)